

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,570	11/01/2001	Qiang Cao	25-3-10	4228
7590 08/18/2006			EXAMINER	
Docket Administrator (Room 3J-219)			HAILE, FEBEN	
Lucent Technologies Inc. 101 Crawfords Corner Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Holmdel, NJ 07733-3030			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2000	DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			·			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/002,570	CAO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Feben M. Haile	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY INSTITUTION OF THE MAILING THE MAILI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be the standard will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. imely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,16 and 26 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1,3-15 and 17-25 is/are allowed. 						
	Claim(s) <u>27-31</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/002,570

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In view of applicant's amendment filed May 18, 2006, the status of the application

is still pending with respect to claims 1-31.

2. The amendment filed is sufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 8-9,

11-15, 17, 20, and 22-26 based upon the allowable subject matter of "two schedulers

each operating on different protocol layers, wherein a scheduler (PDU-scheduler)

operating on an upper layer schedules each protocol data unit (PDU) of an incoming

data flow to be transmitted into a priority list to be served by a scheduler (MAC-

scheduler) of a lower layer, and the scheduler (MAC-scheduler) of the lower layer

performs medium access control thereby optimizing the system efficiency of data

transmission by dynamically operating on the protocol data units (PDU) within the

priority list" being incorporated into independent claims 1 and 15.

3. Newly added claims 27-31 filed in the amendment have been considered but are

ineffective to overcome Ehrstedt et al. (US 6,901,065), Sarkkinen et al. (US 6,950,420),

and Feder et al. (US 2005/0239491).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 2

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 27 and 28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehrstedt et al. (US 6,901,065), hereinafter referred to as Ehrstedt, in view of Sarkkinen et al. (US 6,950,420), hereinafter referred to as Sarkkinen, in view of Feder et al. (US 2005/0239491), hereinafter referred to as Feder.

Regarding claim 27, Ehrstedt discloses receiving quality of service requirements of each data flow comprising protocol data units (PDU) (column 2 lines 34-40; different Quality of Services are assigned to packets, i.e. MAC-PDUs, corresponding to a particular Radio Access Bearer), determining a priority order of the protocol data units (PDU) to be served for data transmission on a communication channel (column 2 lines 27-29; scheduling of MAC-PDUs for transmission over a air interface), with regard to the defined priority order and in dependent upon allocated radio resource constraints (column 2 lines 40-42; priorities are determined on the basis of Radio Access Bearer parameters).

Ehrstedt fails to teach serving the protocol data units (PDU) by dynamically creating transport block sets (TBS) to be transmitted to the physical layer (PHY-layer).

Sarkkinen discloses a medium access control that sends a PDU to a physical layer in the form of a transport block set (column 6 lines 62-65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of the medium access control taught by

Sarkkinen into Ehrstedt's MAC entity. The motivation for such a modification being an

added flexibility in using a transparent Radio Link Control mode.

Ehrstedt, Sarkkinen, or their combination fail to teach an initial adjustment step

using predefined bit-error-rate requirements and interference to pathloss rate

estimation, wherein the adjustment of the transmission power comprises a subsequent

momentary adjustment step using the respective previous transmission power and data

rate (Rb).

Feder discloses a power control system setting thresholds, such as signal

strength, system interference, and BER, when adjusting the transmit power of a

wireless transmitter (page 5 column 0032). The power control technique also takes into

account signal strength from received information when adjusting the power (page 1

paragraph 0008). It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the signal strength

could be related to the power and rate used to transmit the information.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to incorporate the power control system taught by Feder into the

MAC entity taught by Ehrstedt as modified by Sarkkinen. The motivation for such a

modification being an improved method for controlling power.

Regarding claim 28, Ehrstedt discloses receiving quality of service requirements

of each data flow comprising protocol data units (PDU) (column 2 lines 34-40;

different Quality of Services are assigned to packets, i.e. MAC-PDUs,

corresponding to a particular Radio Access Bearer), determining a priority order of

the protocol data units (PDU) to be served for data transmission on a communication

channel (column 2 lines 27-29; scheduling of MAC-PDUs for transmission over a air interface), with regard to the defined priority order and in dependent upon allocated radio resource constraints (column 2 lines 40-42; priorities are determined on the basis of Radio Access Bearer parameters).

Ehrstedt fails to teach serving the protocol data units (PDU) by dynamically creating transport block sets (TBS) to be transmitted to the physical layer (PHY-layer).

Sarkkinen discloses a medium access control that sends a PDU to a physical layer in the form of a transport block set (column 6 lines 62-65).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of the medium access control taught by Sarkkinen into Ehrstedt's MAC entity. The motivation for such a modification being an added flexibility in using a transparent Radio Link Control mode.

Ehrstedt, Sarkkinen, or their combinations fail to teach wherein an overall transmission power (Plimit) of all active data flows is dynamically adjusted for a cell within a limit predefined by an allocated transmission power (Pps).

Feder discloses a power control technique provided for each wireless unit within a coverage area (page 1 paragraph 0009) where thresholds are set for when adjusting the power of the wireless units (page 5 column 0032).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the power control system taught by Feder into the MAC entity taught by Ehrstedt as modified by Sarkkinen. The motivation for such a modification being an improved method for controlling power.

5. Claim 29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehrstedt et al. (US 6,901,065), hereinafter referred to as Ehrstedt, in view of Sarkkinen et al. (US 6,950,420), hereinafter referred to as Sarkkinen.

Regarding claim 29, Ehrstedt discloses receiving quality of service requirements of each data flow comprising protocol data units (PDU) (column 2 lines 34-40; different Quality of Services are assigned to packets, i.e. MAC-PDUs, corresponding to a particular Radio Access Bearer), determining a priority order of the protocol data units (PDU) to be served for data transmission on a communication channel (column 2 lines 27-29; scheduling of MAC-PDUs for transmission over a air interface), with regard to the defined priority order and in dependent upon allocated radio resource constraints (column 2 lines 40-42; priorities are determined on the basis of Radio Access Bearer parameters).

Ehrstedt fails to teach serving the protocol data units (PDU) by dynamically creating transport block sets (TBS) to be transmitted to the physical layer (PHY-layer), teach adjusting a transport format set depending on whether a real time service or a non real time service is request.

Sarkkinen discloses a medium access control that sends a PDU to a physical layer in the form of a transport block set (column 6 lines 62-65). It is obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that real time services correspond to traffic such as voice and non-real time services correspond to traffic such as file transfers. Hence the different services could require different communication parameters, thus affecting the transport block sets.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of the medium access control taught by Sarkkinen into Ehrstedt's MAC entity. The motivation for such a modification being an added flexibility in using a transparent Radio Link Control mode.

6. Claims 30 and 31rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ehrstedt et al. (US 6,901,065), hereinafter referred to as Ehrstedt, in view of Feder et al. (US 2005/0239491), hereinafter referred to as Feder.

Regarding claim 30, Ehrstedt discloses a transceiver unit having transmission data rate and transmission power (column 2 lines 34-40; different Quality of Services are assigned to packets, i.e. MAC-PDUs, corresponding to a particular Radio Access Bearer), priority order of the protocol data units (PDU) of multiple data flows with regard to a predefined flow's quality of service requirement and for dynamically scheduling the ordered protocol data units (PDU) dependent upon allocated radio resource constraints (column 2 lines 27-29; scheduling of MAC-PDUs for transmission over a air interface and column 2 lines 40-42; priorities are determined on the basis of Radio Access Bearer parameters).

Ehrstedt fails to teach means for adjusting the transmission power subsequent to the establishment of a communication channel by using the respective previous transmission power and data rate (Rb).

Feder discloses a power control technique where each wireless unit performs a signal strength measurement based on the power level of a pilot signal from the base

station and adjusts the power using that information (pages 1-2 paragraph 0011). It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the signal strength could also be related to the rate used to transmit the pilot signal.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the power control system taught by Feder into the MAC entity taught by Ehrstedt as modified by Sarkkinen. The motivation for such a modification being an improved method for controlling power.

Regarding claim 31, Ehrstedt discloses a transceiver unit having transmission data rate and transmission power (column 2 lines 34-40; different Quality of Services are assigned to packets, i.e. MAC-PDUs, corresponding to a particular Radio Access Bearer), priority order of the protocol data units (PDU) of multiple data flows with regard to a predefined flow's quality of service requirement and for dynamically scheduling the ordered protocol data units (PDU) dependent upon allocated radio resource constraints (column 2 lines 27-29; scheduling of MAC-PDUs for transmission over a air interface and column 2 lines 40-42; priorities are determined on the basis of Radio Access Bearer parameters).

Ehrstedt fails to teach scheduling means for monitoring throughput without retransmissions and for comparing said throughput with a virtual bandwidth depending on an allocated transmission power (Pps) for adjusting an overall transmission power (Plimit) within a limit predefined by said allocated transmission power (Pps).

Feder discloses a power control technique where each wireless unit performs a signal strength measurement based on the power level of a pilot signal from a base

station (pages 1-2 paragraph 0011). The power control system sets thresholds when adjusting the transmit power of the wireless transmitters (page 5 column 0032). It is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the signal strength could be related to bandwidth.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1, 3-15 and 17-25 allowed.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/002,570

Art Unit: 2616

Page 10

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Feben M. Haile whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3072. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on (571) 272-3139. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SH oslonlacou

RICKY Q. NGO
CURERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER